

Products, Coproducts and Universal Properties of Autonomic Systems

Phan Cong Vinh^{1,*}, Nguyen Minh Truong²

¹Nguyen Tat Thanh University, 300A Nguyen Tat Thanh Street, Ward 13, District 4, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

²Ho Chi Minh City Industry and Trade College, 20 Tang Nhon Phu Street, Phuoc Long B Ward, District 9, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Abstract

Self-* is widely considered as a foundation for autonomic computing. The notion of autonomic systems (ASs) and self-* serves as a basis on which to build our intuition about category of ASs in general. In this paper we will specify ASs and self-* and then move on to consider products, coproducts and some universal properties of ASs. All of this material is taken as an investigation of our category, the category of ASs, which we call **AS**.

Received on 02 January 2015; accepted on 11 January 2016; published on 12 February 2016

Keywords: Autonomic computing, Autonomic systems, Coproduct, Product, Self-*

Copyright © 2016 Phan Cong Vinh and Nguyen Minh Truong, licensed to EAI. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>), which permits unlimited use, distribution and reproduction in any medium so long as the original work is properly cited.

doi:10.4108/eai.12-2-2016.151088

1. Introduction

Autonomic computing (AC) imitates and simulates the natural intelligence possessed by the human autonomic nervous system using generic computers. This indicates that the nature of software in AC is the simulation and embodiment of human behaviors, and the extension of human capability, reachability, persistency, memory, and information processing speed. AC was first proposed by IBM in 2001 where it is defined as

“Autonomic computing is an approach to self-managed computing systems with a minimum of human interference. The term derives from the body’s autonomic nervous system, which controls key functions without conscious awareness or involvement” [1].

AC in our recent investigations [2–8] is generally described as self-*. Formally, let self-* be the set of self-__’s. Each self-__ to be an element in self-* is called a *self-* facet*. That is,

$$\text{self-*} = \{\text{self-__} \mid \text{self-__ is a self-* facet}\} \quad (1)$$

We see that self-CHOP is composed of four self-* facets of self-configuration, self-healing, self-optimization and self-protection. Hence, self-CHOP is a subset of self-*.

That is, self-CHOP = {self-configuration, self-healing, self-optimization, self-protection} \subset self-*. Every self-* facet must satisfy some certain criteria, so-called *self-* properties*.

In its AC manifesto, IBM proposed eight facets setting forth an AS known as *self-awareness*, *self-configuration*, *self-optimization*, *self-maintenance*, *self-protection (security and integrity)*, *self-adaptation*, *self-resource-allocation* and *open-standard-based* [1]. In other words, consciousness (self-awareness) and non-imperative (goal-driven) behaviors are the main features of autonomic systems (ASs).

In this paper we will specify ASs and self-* and then move on to consider products and coproducts of ASs. All of this material is taken as an investigation of our category, the category of ASs, which we call **AS**.

2. Outline

In the paper, we attempt to make the presentation as self-contained as possible, although familiarity with the notion of self-* in ASs is assumed. Acquaintance with the associated notion of algebraic language is useful for recognizing the results, but is almost everywhere not strictly necessary.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 3 presents the notion of autonomic systems (ASs). In section 4, self-* actions in ASs are specified. In section 5, products and coproducts of ASs are considered. Some universal properties of ASa are investigated in section 6. Finally, a short summary is given in section 7.

*Corresponding author. Email: pcvinh@ntt.edu.vn

3. Autonomic Systems (ASs)

We can think of an AS as a collection of states $x \in AS$, each of which is recognizable as being in AS and such that for each pair of named states $x, y \in AS$ we can tell if $x = y$ or not. The symbol \emptyset denotes the AS with no states.

If AS_1 and AS_2 are ASs, we say that AS_1 is a sub-system of AS_2 , and write $AS_1 \subseteq AS_2$, if every state of AS_1 is a state of AS_2 . Checking the definition, we see that for any system AS, we have sub-systems $\emptyset \subseteq AS$ and $AS \subseteq AS$.

We can use system-builder notation to denote sub-systems. For example the autonomic system can be written $\{x \in AS \mid x \text{ is a state of } AS\}$.

The symbol \exists means “there exists”. So we can write the autonomic system as $\{x \in AS \mid \exists y \text{ is a final state such that } self\text{-}^*action(x) = y\}$

The symbol $\exists!$ means “there exists a unique”. So the statement “ $\exists! x \in AS$ is an initial state” means that there is one and only one state to be a start one, that is, the state of the autonomic system before any self- * action is processed.

Finally, the symbol \forall means “for all”. So the statement “ $\forall x \in AS \exists y \in AS$ such that $self\text{-}^*action(x) = y$ ” means that for every state of autonomic system there is the next one.

In the paper, we use the $\stackrel{def}{=}$ notation “ $AS_1 \stackrel{def}{=} AS_2$ ” to mean something like “define AS_1 to be AS_2 ”. That is, a $\stackrel{def}{=}$ declaration is not denoting a fact of nature (like $1 + 2 = 3$), but our formal notation. It just so happens that the notation above, such as Self-CHOP $\stackrel{def}{=} \{\text{self-configuration, self-healing, self-optimization, self-protection}\}$, is a widely-held choice.

4. Self- * Actions of Autonomic Systems

If AS and AS' are sets of autonomic system states, then a self- * action $self\text{-}^*action$ from AS to AS' , denoted $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$, is a mapping that sends each state $x \in AS$ to a state of AS' , denoted $self\text{-}^*action(x) \in AS'$. We call AS the domain of $self\text{-}^*action$ and we call AS' the codomain of $self\text{-}^*action$.

Note that the symbol AS' , read “AS-prime”, has nothing to do with calculus or derivatives. It is simply notation that we use to name a symbol that is suggested as being somehow like AS. This suggestion of consanguinity between AS and AS' is meant only as an aid for human cognition, and not as part of the mathematics. For every state $x \in AS$, there is exactly one arrow emanating from x , but for a state $y \in AS'$, there can be several arrows pointing to y , or there can be no arrows pointing to y .

Suppose that $AS' \subseteq AS$ is a sub-system. Then we can consider the self- * action $AS' \rightarrow AS$ given by sending every state of AS' to “itself” as a state of AS. For example if $AS = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and $AS' = \{b, d, e\}$ then $AS' \subseteq AS$ and we turn that into the self- * action $AS' \rightarrow AS$ given by $b \mapsto b, d \mapsto d, e \mapsto e$. This kind of arrow, \mapsto , is read aloud as “maps to”. A self- * action $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$ means a rule for assigning to each state $x \in AS$ a state $self\text{-}^*action(x) \in AS'$. We say that “ x maps to $self\text{-}^*action(x)$ ” and write $x \mapsto self\text{-}^*action(x)$.

As a matter of notation, we can sometimes say something like the following: Let $self\text{-}^*action: AS' \subseteq AS$ be a sub-system. Here we are making clear that AS' is a sub-system of AS, but that $self\text{-}^*action$ is the name of the associated self- * action.

Given a self- * action $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$, the states of AS' that have at least one arrow pointing to them are said to be in the image of $self\text{-}^*action$; that is we have $\text{im}(self\text{-}^*action) \stackrel{def}{=} \{y \in AS' \mid \exists x \in AS \text{ such that } self\text{-}^*action(x) = y\}$. Given $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$ and $self\text{-}^*action': AS' \rightarrow AS''$, where the codomain of $self\text{-}^*action$ is the same set of autonomic system states as the domain of $self\text{-}^*action'$ (namely AS'), we say that $self\text{-}^*action$ and $self\text{-}^*action'$ are composable

$$AS \xrightarrow{self\text{-}^*action} AS' \xrightarrow{self\text{-}^*action'} AS''$$

The composition of $self\text{-}^*action$ and $self\text{-}^*action'$ is denoted by $self\text{-}^*action' \circ self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS''$.

We write $\text{Hom}_{AS}(AS, AS')$ to denote the set of self- * actions $AS \rightarrow AS'$. Two self- * actions $self\text{-}^*action, self\text{-}^*action': AS \rightarrow AS'$ are equal if and only if for every state $x \in AS$ we have $self\text{-}^*action(x) = self\text{-}^*action'(x)$.

We define the identity self- * action on AS, denoted $id_{AS}: AS \rightarrow AS$, to be the self- * action such that for all $x \in AS$ we have $id_{AS}(x) = x$.

A self- * action $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$ is called an *isomorphism*, denoted $self\text{-}^*action: AS \xrightarrow{\cong} AS'$, if there exists a self- * action $self\text{-}^*action': AS' \rightarrow AS$ such that $self\text{-}^*action' \circ self\text{-}^*action = id_{AS}$ and $self\text{-}^*action \circ self\text{-}^*action' = id_{AS'}$. We also say that $self\text{-}^*action$ is *invertible* and we say that $self\text{-}^*action'$ is the *inverse* of $self\text{-}^*action$. If there exists an isomorphism $AS \xrightarrow{\cong} AS'$ we say that AS and AS' are isomorphic autonomic systems and may write $AS \cong AS'$.

Proposition 1. The following facts hold about isomorphism.

1. Any autonomic system AS is isomorphic to itself; i.e. there exists an isomorphism $AS \xrightarrow{\cong} AS$.
2. For any autonomic systems AS and AS' , if AS is isomorphic to AS' then AS' is isomorphic to AS.
3. For any autonomic systems AS, AS' and AS'' , if AS is isomorphic to AS' and AS' is isomorphic to AS'' then AS is isomorphic to AS'' .

Proof:

1. The identity self- * action $id_{AS}: AS \rightarrow AS$ is invertible; its inverse is id_{AS} because $id_{AS} \circ id_{AS} = id_{AS}$.

2. If $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$ is invertible with inverse $self\text{-}^*action': AS' \rightarrow AS$ then $self\text{-}^*action'$ is an isomorphism with inverse $self\text{-}^*action$.

3. If $self\text{-}^*action: AS \rightarrow AS'$ and $self\text{-}^*action': AS' \rightarrow AS''$ are each invertible with inverses $self\text{-}^*action': AS' \rightarrow AS$ and $self\text{-}^*action'': AS'' \rightarrow AS'$ then the following calculations show that $self\text{-}^*action' \circ self\text{-}^*action$ is invertible with inverse $self\text{-}^*action' \circ self\text{-}^*action$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\widehat{self-*action} \circ self-*action) \circ (self-*action' \circ \widehat{self-*action}') = \\
 & self-*action \circ (self-*action \circ self-*action') \circ \widehat{self-*action}' = \\
 & self-*action \circ id_{AS'} \circ self-*action' = \\
 & self-*action \circ self-*action' = id_{AS''}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (self-*action' \circ \widehat{self-*action}') \circ (self-*action \circ self-*action) = \\
 & self-*action' \circ (self-*action \circ self-*action) \circ self-*action = \\
 & self-*action' \circ id_{AS'} \circ self-*action = \\
 & self-*action' \circ self-*action = id_{AS}
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

For any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a set $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. So, in particular, $\underline{0} = \emptyset$. A function $f : \underline{n} \rightarrow AS$ can be written as a sequence $f = (f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n))$. We say that AS has cardinality n , denoted $|AS| = n$ if there exists an isomorphism $AS \cong \underline{n}$. If there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that AS has cardinality n then we say that AS is finite. Otherwise, we say that AS is infinite and write $|AS| \geq \infty$.

Proposition 2. Suppose that AS and AS' are finite. If there is an isomorphism of autonomic systems $f : AS \rightarrow AS'$ then the two autonomic systems have the same cardinality, $|AS| = |AS'|$.

Proof: Suppose that $f : AS \rightarrow AS'$ is an isomorphism. If there exists natural numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and isomorphisms $\alpha : \underline{m} \xrightarrow{\cong} AS$ and $\beta : \underline{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} AS'$ then

$$\underline{m} \xrightarrow{\alpha} AS \xrightarrow{f} AS' \xrightarrow{\beta^{-1}} \underline{n}$$

is an isomorphism. We can prove by induction that the sets \underline{m} and \underline{n} are isomorphic if and only if $m = n$. Q.E.D.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 AS & \xrightarrow{self-*action} & AS' \\
 & \searrow self-*action'' & \downarrow self-*action' \\
 & & AS''
 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

We say this is a diagram of autonomic systems if each of AS, AS', AS'' is an autonomic system and each of $self-*action, self-*action', self-*action''$ is a self-* action. We say this diagram commutes if $self-*action' \circ self-*action = self-*action''$. In this case we refer to it as a commutative triangle of autonomic systems. Diagram (2) is considered to be the same diagram as each of the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 AS & \xrightarrow{self-*action} & AS' & \xrightarrow{self-*action} & AS'' \\
 \downarrow self-*action'' & \nearrow self-*action' & & \searrow self-*action' & \\
 AS'' & & & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & AS' & \\
 & \uparrow self-*action & \searrow self-*action' \\
 self-*action & & AS'' \\
 & \downarrow self-*action'' & \\
 AS & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 AS & \xrightarrow{self-*action} & AS' \\
 \downarrow self-*action'' & & \downarrow self-*action' \\
 AS'' & \xrightarrow{self-*action''} & AS'''
 \end{array} \quad (3)$$

We say this is a diagram of autonomic systems if each of AS, AS', AS'', AS''' is an autonomic system and each of $self-*action, self-*action', self-*action'', self-*action'''$ is a self-* action. We say this diagram commutes if $self-*action' \circ self-*action = self-*action''' \circ self-*action''$. In this case we refer to it as a commutative square of autonomic systems.

5. Products and Coproducts of Autonomic Systems

Let AS and AS' be autonomic systems. The product of AS and AS' , denoted $AS \times AS'$, is defined as the autonomic system of ordered pairs (x, y) where states of $x \in AS$ and $y \in AS'$. Symbolically, $AS \times AS' = \{(x, y) | x \in AS, y \in AS'\}$. There are two natural projection actions of self-* to be $self-*action_1 : AS \times AS' \rightarrow AS$ and $self-*action_2 : AS \times AS' \rightarrow AS'$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & AS \times AS' & \\
 self-*action_1 \swarrow & & \searrow self-*action_2 \\
 AS & & AS'
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

For illustration, suppose that $\{a, b, c\}$ are states in AS and $\{d, e\}$ in AS' , the states are happening in such autonomic systems. Thus, AS and AS' , which are running concurrently, can be specified by $AS|AS' \stackrel{def}{=} \{(a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e)\}$. Note that the symbol “|” is used to denote concurrency of states existing at the same time. We define self-* actions as $disable(d, e)$ and $disable(a, b, c)$ to be able to drop out relevant states.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \{(a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e)\} & \\
 disable(d, e) \swarrow & & \searrow disable(a, b, c) \\
 \{a, b, c\} & & \{d, e\}
 \end{array} \quad (5)$$

It is possible to take the product of more than two autonomic systems as well. For example, if AS_1, AS_2 , and AS_3 are autonomic systems then $AS_1|AS_2|AS_3$ is the system of triples,

$$AS_1|AS_2|AS_3 \stackrel{def}{=} \{(a|b|c) | a \in AS_1, b \in AS_2, c \in AS_3\}$$

Proposition 3. Let AS and AS' be autonomic systems. For any autonomic system AS'' and actions $self\text{-}action_3 : AS'' \rightarrow AS$ and $self\text{-}action_4 : AS'' \rightarrow AS'$, there exists a unique action $AS'' \rightarrow AS \times AS'$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & AS \times AS' & \\
 self\text{-}action_1 \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow self\text{-}action_2 \\
 AS & \exists! & AS' \\
 \forall self\text{-}action_3 \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow \forall self\text{-}action_4 \\
 & AS'' &
 \end{array} \quad (6)$$

We might write the unique action as

$$\langle self\text{-}action_3, self\text{-}action_4 \rangle : AS'' \rightarrow AS \times AS'$$

Proof: Suppose given $self\text{-}action_3$ and $self\text{-}action_4$ as above. To provide an action $z : AS'' \rightarrow AS \times AS'$ is equivalent to providing a state $z(a) \in AS \times AS'$ for each $a \in AS''$. We need such an action for which $self\text{-}action_1 \circ z = self\text{-}action_3$ and $self\text{-}action_2 \circ z = self\text{-}action_4$. A state of $AS \times AS'$ is an ordered pair (x, y) , and we can use $z(a) = (x, y)$ if and only if $x = self\text{-}action_1(x, y) = self\text{-}action_3(a)$ and $y = self\text{-}action_2(x, y) = self\text{-}action_4(a)$. So it is necessary and sufficient to define $\langle self\text{-}action_3, self\text{-}action_4 \rangle \stackrel{def}{=} (self\text{-}action_3(a), self\text{-}action_4(a))$ for all $a \in AS''$. Q.E.D.

Given autonomic systems AS , AS' , and AS'' , and actions $self\text{-}action_3 : AS'' \rightarrow AS$ and $self\text{-}action_4 : AS'' \rightarrow AS'$, there is a unique action $AS'' \rightarrow AS \times AS'$ that commutes with $self\text{-}action_3$ and $self\text{-}action_4$. We call it the *induced action* $AS'' \rightarrow AS \times AS'$, meaning the one that arises in light of $self\text{-}action_3$ and $self\text{-}action_4$.

For example, as mentioned above autonomic systems $AS = \{a, b, c\}$, $AS' = \{d, e\}$ and $AS|AS' \stackrel{def}{=} \{(a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e)\}$. For an autonomic system $AS'' = \emptyset$, which stops running, we define self-* actions as $enable(d, e)$ and $enable(a, b, c)$ to be able to add further relevant states. Then there exists a unique action

$$enable((a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e))$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \{(a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e)\} & \\
 \swarrow \text{disable}(d, e) & \uparrow & \searrow \text{disable}(a, b, c) \\
 \{a, b, c\} & \exists! & \{d, e\} \\
 \swarrow \text{enable}((a|d), (a|e), (b|d), (b|e), (c|d), (c|e)) & \uparrow & \searrow \text{enable}(d, e) \\
 \emptyset & \uparrow & \\
 \swarrow \text{enable}(a, b, c) & \uparrow & \searrow \text{enable}(d, e) \\
 & \emptyset &
 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

Let AS and AS' be autonomic systems. The coproduct of AS and AS' , denoted $AS \sqcup AS'$, is defined as the "disjoint

union" of AS and AS' , i.e. the autonomic system for which a state is either a state of AS or a state of AS' . If something is a state of both AS and AS' then we include both copies, and distinguish between them, in $AS \sqcup AS'$. There are two natural inclusion actions $self\text{-}action_1 : AS \rightarrow AS \sqcup AS'$ and $self\text{-}action_2 : AS' \rightarrow AS \sqcup AS'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 AS & & AS' \\
 \searrow self\text{-}action_1 & & \swarrow self\text{-}action_2 \\
 & AS \sqcup AS' &
 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

For illustration, suppose that $\{a, b, c\}$ are states in autonomic system AS and $\{d, e\}$ in AS' . Thus, $AS \sqcup AS'$, which is disjoint union, can be specified by $AS \sqcup AS' \stackrel{def}{=} \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. We define self-* actions as $enable(d, e)$ and $enable(a, b, c)$ to be able to add further relevant states.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{a, b, c\} & & \{d, e\} \\
 \searrow enable(d, e) & & \swarrow enable(a, b, c) \\
 & \{a, b, c, d, e\} &
 \end{array} \quad (9)$$

Proposition 4. Let AS and AS' be autonomic systems. For any autonomic system AS'' and actions $self\text{-}action_3 : AS \rightarrow AS''$ and $self\text{-}action_4 : AS' \rightarrow AS''$, there exists a unique action $AS \sqcup AS' \rightarrow AS''$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & AS'' & \\
 \forall self\text{-}action_3 \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow \forall self\text{-}action_4 \\
 AS & \exists! & AS' \\
 \swarrow self\text{-}action_1 & \uparrow & \searrow self\text{-}action_2 \\
 & AS \sqcup AS' &
 \end{array} \quad (10)$$

We might write the unique action as

$$[self\text{-}action_3, self\text{-}action_4] : AS \sqcup AS' \rightarrow AS''$$

Proof: Suppose given $self\text{-}action_3$, $self\text{-}action_4$ as above. To provide an action $z : AS \sqcup AS' \rightarrow AS''$ is equivalent to providing a state $self\text{-}action_3(m) \in AS''$ is for each $m \in AS \sqcup AS'$. We need such an action such that $z \circ self\text{-}action_1 = self\text{-}action_3$ and $z \circ self\text{-}action_2 = self\text{-}action_4$. But each state $m \in AS \sqcup AS'$ is either of the form $self\text{-}action_1x$ or $self\text{-}action_2y$, and cannot be of both forms. So we assign $[self\text{-}action_3, self\text{-}action_4](m) = \begin{cases} self\text{-}action_3(x) & \text{if } m = self\text{-}action_1x \\ self\text{-}action_4(y) & \text{if } m = self\text{-}action_2y \end{cases}$. This assignment is necessary and sufficient to make all relevant diagrams commute. Q.E.D.

For example, as mentioned above autonomic systems $AS = \{a, b, c\}$, $AS' = \{d, e\}$ and $AS \sqcup AS' \stackrel{def}{=} \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. For an autonomic system $AS'' = \emptyset$, which stops running, we define self-* actions as $disable(d, e)$ and $disable(a, b, c)$ to drop out relevant states. Then there exists a unique

action $disable(a,b,c,d,e)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \circlearrowleft & \\ disable(a,b,c) \nearrow & & \nwarrow disable(d,e) \\ \{a,b,c\} & \circlearrowleft & \{d,e\} \\ enable(d,e) \searrow & & \swarrow enable(a,b,c) \\ & \{a,b,c,d,e\} & \end{array}$$

6. Universal Properties

We denote the coproduct of two autonomic systems AS and AS' by the notation $AS + AS'$ rather than $AS \sqcup AS'$. It is a reasonable notation in general, and one that is often used.

The following isomorphisms exist for any autonomic systems $AS, AS',$ and AS''

$$\begin{aligned} AS + \underline{0} &\cong AS \\ AS + AS' &\cong AS' + AS \\ (AS + AS') + AS'' &\cong AS + (AS' + AS'') \\ AS \times \underline{0} &\cong \underline{0} \\ AS \times \underline{1} &\cong AS \\ AS \times AS' &\cong AS' \times AS \\ (AS \times AS') \times AS'' &\cong AS \times (AS' \times AS'') \\ AS \times (AS' + AS'') &\cong (AS \times AS') + (AS \times AS'') \\ AS^{\underline{0}} &\cong \underline{1} \\ AS^{\underline{1}} &\cong AS \\ \underline{0}^{AS} &\cong \underline{0} \\ \underline{1}^{AS} &\cong \underline{1} \\ AS^{AS'+AS''} &\cong AS^{AS'} \times AS^{AS''} \\ (AS^{AS'})^{AS''} &\cong AS^{AS' \times AS''} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $\underline{0}^{\underline{0}}$, we get conflicting answers, because for any autonomic system AS , including $AS = \circlearrowleft = \underline{0}$, we have claimed both that $AS^{\underline{0}} \cong \underline{1}$ and that $\underline{0}^{AS} \cong \underline{0}$. Based on the definitions of $\underline{0}, \underline{1}$ and $AS^{AS'}$ given in 4, the correct answer for $\underline{0}^{\underline{0}}$ is $\underline{0}^{\underline{0}} \cong \underline{1}$. The universal properties, which are considered in this section, are in some sense about isomorphisms. It says that understanding isomorphisms of autonomic systems reduces to understanding natural numbers. But note that there is much more going on in the category of \mathbf{AS} than isomorphisms; in particular there are self-* actions that are not invertible.

7. Conclusions

The paper is a reference material for readers who already have a basic understanding of self-* in ASs and are now ready to

consider products, coproducts and some universal properties of ASs using algebraic language. Algebraic specification is presented in a straightforward fashion by discussing in detail the necessary components and briefly touching on the more advanced components.

Acknowledgements. Thank you to NTTU¹ for the constant support of our work which culminated in the publication of this paper. As always, we are deeply indebted to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions which have contributed to the final preparation of the paper.

References

- [1] IBM. Autonomic Computing Manifesto. Retrieved from <http://www.research.ibm.com/autonomic/>, 2001.
- [2] P.C. Vinh. *Autonomic Computing and Networking*, chapter Formal Aspects of Self-* in Autonomic Networked Computing Systems, pages 381–410. Springer, 2009.
- [3] P.C. Vinh. Toward Formalized Autonomic Networking. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 19(5):598–607, October 2014. DOI: 10.1007/s11036-014-0521-z.
- [4] P.C. Vinh. Algebraically Autonomic Computing. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11036-015-0615-2.
- [5] P.C. Vinh. Concurrency of Self-* in Autonomic Systems. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 56:140–152, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2015.04.017.
- [6] P.C. Vinh. Products and Coproducts of Autonomic Systems. In P.C. Vinh and V. Alagar, editors, *The 4th International Conference on Context-Aware Systems and Applications (ICCASA 2015)*, volume 165 of *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering (LNICST)*. Springer, 2016.
- [7] P.C. Vinh and N.T. Tung. Coalgebraic Aspects of Context-Awareness. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 18(3):391–397, June 2013. DOI 10.1007/s11036-012-0404-0.
- [8] P.C. Vinh and E. Vashev. Nature-Inspired Computation and Communication: A Formal Approach. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 56:121–123, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.future.2015.10.011.

¹Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Vietnam